

State Family Planning Funding Restrictions

BACKGROUND: In the 1990s, a handful of states imposed abortion-related restrictions on state family planning funds. Some of these laws ban the use of state family planning funds to provide abortion counseling and referrals to women who have unintended pregnancies. (However, to prevent the loss of federal funds, all but one specifically allow organizations receiving funding under the federal Title X family planning program to provide the nondirective pregnancy-options counseling and requested referrals required by the program.) Additionally, these restrictions often require strict separation between organizations providing state-funded family planning services and those providing abortion-related services. Such requirements may entail making the organizations separate legal entities and may prohibit them from sharing employees, medical supplies and physical facilities.

In 2011, spurred by the effort at the federal level to prohibit Planned Parenthood Federation of America from receiving federal family planning funds, legislators in some states are reviewing this issue and questioning whether agencies that use private funds for abortion are barred from receiving state family planning funding, or, in some cases, federal funds—including Medicaid reimbursement—that pass through the state treasury.

HIGHLIGHTS:

- 4 states prohibit the use of state family planning funds for abortion counseling and referral.
- 9 states prohibit certain entities from receiving family planning funds, in 6 states the restrictions apply to organizations that provide abortion services, or are affiliated with agencies that provide abortion services and in 2 state the restriction applies to any private organization.
- 3 states have a priority system for the distribution of family planning funds— including federal funds distributed by a state agency—that disadvantages family planning centers or agencies associated with the provision of abortion services.



Advancing sexual and reproductive health worldwide through research, policy analysis and public education.

125 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
212.248.1111
www.guttmacher.org
info@guttmacher.org

1301 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
202.296.4012
www.guttmacher.org
policyworks@guttmacher.org

© 2014, Guttmacher Institute

CONTINUED

STATE FAMILY PLANNING FUNDING RESTRICTIONS

STATE	STATE FAMILY PLANNING FUNDS MAY NOT BE USED FOR ABORTION COUNSELING OR REFERRAL*	ENTITIES THAT MAY NOT RECEIVE FAMILY PLANNING FUNDS:	WHEN DISTRIBUTING FAMILY PLANNING FUNDS, PRIORITY GIVEN TO...
Arizona		Abortion providers	
Colorado	X	Abortion providers	
Indiana		Abortion providers	
Kansas		Private family planning clinics	
Michigan	X		Entities separate from abortion providers
North Carolina		Non-public organizations	
Ohio	X	Abortion providers [†]	Health departments
Oklahoma		Private family planning clinics	
Texas		Abortion providers [†]	Public facilities, Private comprehensive health clinics
Wisconsin	X	Abortion providers	
TOTAL	4	9	3

* All states except Colorado permit facilities to provide nondirective counseling when required by Title X.

† Another law that prohibits the state from contracting with Planned Parenthood affiliates is currently blocked from enforcement due to a legal challenge.

‡ Statute includes specific requirements for separation from agencies providing abortion.

FOR MORE INFORMATION:

For information on state legislative and policy activity, click on Guttmacher's [Monthly State Update](#); for state-level policy information, see Guttmacher's [State Policies in Brief](#) series; and for information and data on reproductive health issues, go to Guttmacher's [State Center](#). To see state-specific reproductive health information, go to Guttmacher's [Data Center](#), and for abortion-specific information, click on [State Facts About Abortion](#). To keep up with new state relevant data and analysis, sign up for the [State News Quarterly Listserv](#).

Cohen SA, [The numbers tell the story: the reach and impact of Title X](#), Guttmacher Policy Review, 14(2):20–23.

Guttmacher Institute, [A Real-Time Look at the Impact of the Recession on Publicly Funded Family Planning Centers](#), New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2009.

Guttmacher Institute, [A Real-Time Look at the Impact of the Recession on Women's Family Planning and Pregnancy Decisions](#), New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2009.

Hasstedt K, [The State of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights in the State of Texas: A Cautionary Tale](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2014 17(2):14-21.

Frost JJ, Finer LB and Tapales A, [The impact of publicly funded family planning clinic services on unintended pregnancy and government cost savings](#), *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, 2008, 19(3):778–796.

Sonfield A, [Medicaid drives upward trend in public funding for family planning services](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2012, 15(1): 21-22.

Sonfield A and Gold RB, [Conservatives' agenda threatens public funding for family planning](#), *Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2005, 8(1):4–7.

Sonfield A and Gold RB, [Public Funding for Family Planning, Sterilization and Abortion Services, FY1980–2010](#), New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2012.

Sonfield A and Nash E, [Michigan breaks new ground in restricting family planning funds](#), *Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2002, 5(3):13–14.

Gold RB, [Going the Extra Mile: The Difference Title X Makes](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2012, 15(2): 13-17.

Gold RB, [Efforts renew to deny family planning funds to agencies that offer abortions](#), *Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2002, 5(1):4–6.